

Minutes of the Pre-bid meeting for Consulting services for preparation of “Feasibility Report, Detailed Project Report and Bid Documents for constructing a bridge along / over the Virugambakkam Canal from Natesan Nagar West to Kalamman Koil Street to reach Jawaharlal Nehru Road and Poonammallee High Road via Koyambedu Market” for Greater Chennai Corporation held on 02.11.2018 at 3.00 PM at TNUIFSL office.

List of Participants:

S. No	Name	Designation	Organisation
1	Mr. D. Selva Pandian	SAVP	TNUIFSL
2	Mrs. M. Thilagam	EE – Bridges	Greater Chennai Corproation
3	Mr. U. Vijayaraghavan	Sr. Manager	TNUIFSL

Consultants:

4	Mr. N.J. Wesley	Chief Manager	STUP Consultants
5	Mr. K. Gobi	APM	STUP Consultants
6	Mr. Senthil Kumaran	Director - Project	Landtech India
7	Mr. B. Regupathy	Social Specialist	Landtech India

At the outset, the objectives, scope of the assignment and outline of the tasks to be performed by the consultant was explained in detail. Written queries received from the consultants and other queries raised by the consultants were discussed during the meeting and the replies are also as follows:

S. No	Issues / Clarifications	Reply
1	Project length – Please specify the tentative length of proposed bridge.	About 2.00 km approx. However, the exact length may vary as per the consultants’ proposals. The consultants are requested to study all the possibilities to have better alignment according to the site condition and future need.
2	Reporting requirements – Geotechnical investigation is mentioned as part of Phase I and II activities. Geotechnical investigations	Please refer addendum / corrigendum to RFP

S. No	Issues / Clarifications	Reply
	<p>are generally conducted along the approved alignment which will be confirmed after the feasibility studies.</p> <p>We request to keep the Geotechnical investigation in Phase II.</p>	
3	<p>Last date of submission of bid – The time available for finalizing the bid is very less, which is not sufficient to modify / incorporate the proposal based on the pre bid clarifications.</p> <p>Hence, we request you to kindly extend the date of submission of tender by 15 days from the date of reply to the pre bid queries, to enable us to submit a best competitive quote. Please confirm.</p>	Please refer addendum / corrigendum to RFP
4	<p>Tender Value not specified. Generally, the tender value shall be given for the awareness of the prospective bidders to assess the cost of the tender / value of the tender while preparing price bid which enable them to compete in any tender successfully. But in this case, the information regarding tender value wantonly not furnished by the authority. This mail leads the bidders to a perplexed state in defining their tentative expectation. Thus, it is requested to FURNISH THE PROBABLE TENDER value to estimate accurately.</p>	The selected consultant for the subject assignment will be arriving at the project cost as per the GCC procedures, which will be mentioned in the bids for implementation. This is only a consultancy assignment for DPR preparation and not for any implementation.
5	<p>It is estimated that about 12 man-months for key experts of services will be required for the study and generally you should base your financial proposal on this figure. However, you should feel free to submit the proposal on the basis of man-months considered necessary by you to undertake the assignment.</p> <p>The given condition regarding assignment period during which the consultant is supposed to complete the task of preparing</p>	<p>Timelines as per clause 8, Terms of Reference, Annexure -1 of the RFP.</p> <p>Reports to be submitted by the consultants will be reviewed and approved by the review committee.</p>

S. No	Issues / Clarifications	Reply
	<p>and submitting the DPR for the proposed work is noticed as ambiguous. Such a condition defined by the authority will certainly give opportunities to have difference of opinion and mis understanding during due course of time the proposed tender between the authority and the consultant engaged for To avoid this completion and further conflicts, it is requested to provide the exact completion period for this assignment.</p>	
6	<p>The details required to be furnished by the consultants are not clearly mentioned. It is requested to refer financial bid of other employers such as MoRTH, NHAI, etc to give clear picture with regard to arrival of financial estimate and period of engagement of key experts to be appointed.</p>	<p>Kindly submit your financial proposal as per the FORM NO.F-6 & FORM NO.F-6 A for key experts refer clause 9, Terms of Reference, Annexure -1 of the RFP</p>
7	<p>We observed during our pre-tender site visit, the proposed route is falling along the Virugambakkam canal bank and approximately more than 300 residential buildings need to be relocated under Land acquisition and Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan. Therefore, Social Impact and relevant study will consume more time. Hence, kindly extend the project duration to a minimum of six months.</p>	<p>The consultant has to study and suggest the feasible alignment / prepare the DPR with out or minimum land acquisition.</p>
8	<p>1. Is there any proposed Alignment available for this project?</p>	<p>Yes. A tentative alignment is available with Greater Chennai Corporation. However, the consultants are expected to give any better alternatives according to the site condition and future needs which has to be finalised by the Greater Chennai Corporation</p>

S. No	Issues / Clarifications	Reply
	2. Alignment starting point is from Natesan west street (i.e.) dead end at canal to Kalamman Kovil street- Kindly confirm.	The consultants shall study and present the feasible alternate alignment as part of the Feasibility Report. Also, the consultants to study and propose necessary up ramp, down ramps and across the Virugambakkam Canal at suitable / feasible locations based on feasibility including approach roads.
9	We understand that if more than one Flyovers are covered in one project then they would be treated as individual projects for the purpose of eligibility. Please consider.	Yes
10	Considering the fact that most of the Infrastructure projects needs longer time for execution hence we think 5 years period for projects consideration is too small. We request to please consider at least 10 years projects.	No change.
11	Considering the nature of the job and standard practice, it is requested to amend the minimum experience of Team Leader to 12 years' (instead of 15 years) experience in design of bridges in urban areas.	No change
12	Considering the festive season, we request to extend the bid submission by at least one week.	Please refer addendum / corrigendum to RFP